| 1 | Final Minutes |
|----|---|
| 2 | Scientific Advisory Committee Meeting |
| 3 | May 6, 2008 |
| 4 | DFS Central Laboratory, Classroom 1 |
| 5 | • |
| 6 | Committee Members Present: |
| 7 | |
| 8 | Dr. Jose R. Almirall |
| 9 | Mr. Joseph Bono |
| 10 | Dr. Dale Carpenter |
| 11 | Dr. Arthur Eisenberg |
| 12 | Mr. Barry Fisher, Chair |
| 13 | Ms. Deborah Friedman |
| 14 | Dr. Dan Krane |
| 15 | Mr. Peter Marone |
| 16 | Dr. Alphonse Poklis |
| 17 | Dr. Norah Rudin |
| 18 | Mr. Kenneth Smith |
| 19 | |
| 20 | Committee Members Absent: |
| 21 | |
| 22 | Dr. Frederick Bieber |
| 23 | Mr. Dominic Denio |
| 24 | |
| 25 | Staff Members Present |
| 26 | |
| 27 | Ms. Wanda Adkins, Office Manager |
| 28 | Ms. Elizabeth Ballard, Forensic Scientist Forensic Biology |
| 29 | Mr. Jeffrey Ban, Central Laboratory Director |
| 30 | Dr. David Barron, Technical Services Director |
| 31 | Ms. Katie Carlson, Administrative Specialist, Director's Office |
| 32 | Ms. Angie Cunningham, Forensic Scientist, Forensic Biology |
| 33 | Ms. Leslie Ellis, Human Resources Manager |
| 34 | Ms. Michele Gowdy, Department Counsel |
| 35 | Dr. Susan Greenspoon, Forensic Molecular Biologist |
| 36 | Ms. Linda Jackson, Controlled Substances Section Chief |
| 37 | Mr. Bradford Jenkins, Section Chief Forensic Biology |
| 38 | Mr. Ronald Layne, Director of Administration and Finance |
| 39 | Ms. Alka Lohmann, Breath Alcohol Section Chief |
| 40 | Ms. Carisa Onorato, Administrative Specialist Breath Alcohol |
| 41 | Mr. Kevin Patrick, Western Laboratory Director |
| 42 | Mr. John Przybylski, Section Supervisor, Controlled Substances |
| 43 | Mr. Stephen Rodgers, Forensic Scientist, Forensic Biology |
| 44 | Mr. Brian Shannon, Forensic Scientist, Forensic Biology |
| 45 | Mr. Steven Sigel, Deputy Director |
| 46 | Mr. Robert Steiner, Forensic Scientist, Controlled Substances |

- 47 Ms. Susan Uremovich, Eastern Laboratory Director
- 48 Ms. Lisa Schiermeier-Wood, Section Supervisor, Forensic Biology
- 49 Ms. Amy Wong, Northern Laboratory Director

50

51 Call to Order:

52 53

Mr. Fisher called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

54

55 Mr. Fisher acknowledged Wanda Adkins as the temporary secretary for the meeting.

56

57 Adoption of Agenda

58

Mr. Fisher asked if there were any additions or changes to the draft agenda, he moved to adopt the agenda and it was adopted by unanimous vote.

61 62

Freedom of Information Act Presentation

63

- 64 Mr. Fisher had requested that Mr. Charles A. Quagliato, Assistant Attorney General for the
- Department of Forensic Science give a presentation to the Scientific Advisory Committee on the
- Virginia Freedom of Information Act. Mr. Quagliato gave handouts to the Committee on Access
- 67 to Public Meetings under FOIA. There was general discussion between the Scientific Advisory
- 68 Committee and Mr. Quagliato regarding FOIA. Mr. Quagliato offered to provide further
- 69 guidance to the Scientific Advisory Committees in writing at a later date.

70 71

72

Adoption of Minutes

73 N 74 J 75 n

Mr. Fisher asked if there were any changes that needed to be made to the draft minutes from the January 8, 2008 meeting. Dr. Krane and Dr. Rudin made suggested changes to the minutes. A motion was made to accept draft minutes with the suggested changes and they were adopted unanimously.

76 77 78

Chairman's Report

79 80

81

82 83

84

85

87

At the January 9, 2008 Forensic Science Board meeting, the Scientific Advisory Committee was tasked to review the new programs DFS was implementing, specifically the Mitochondrial-DNA and Y-STR testing. DFS further requested that the Scientific Advisory Committee review two additional programs, the new Breath Alcohol instrumentation and the AccuTOF-DART that the Department of Forensic Science was implementing. Chairman Fisher explained to the Scientific Advisory Committee how the subcommittees were formed. The subcommittees' task was to advise the entire Scientific Advisory Committee on these programs and therefore the makeup of each subcommittee included subject matter experts that were not on the Scientific Advisory

86 a

88 Committee.

89

- 90 Mr. Fisher stated that the Forensic Science Board gave approval for the Scientific Advisory
- 91 Committee to meet in other Department of Forensic Science locations in the State of Virginia.

92

93 94 Director's Report 95 96 Mr. Marone introduced Mr. Brad Jenkins as the new DNA Section Chief for the Department of 97 Forensic Science. He began in this position on March 10, 2008 after a twelve week nationwide 98 search. Mr. Jenkins received his undergraduate and masters degree in biology from the 99 University of North Carolina at Wilmington. Mr. Jenkins joined the Department in 1994, was 100 promoted to group supervisor in 2004, selected to serve as the section supervisor and technical 101 leader for the mitochondrial-DNA unit in 2006. 102 103 Grant Update: The Department is reviewing and applying for: 104 -NIJ Research and Development in the Area of Controlled Substances Detection 105 and Analysis 106 -NIJ Post-conviction Testing Assistance Program - \$4,520,294.61 107 -DMV Highway Safety Project - \$40,674.47 108 -Coverdell Forensic Science Improvement Program - \$279,276.00 which will be 109 shared by the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner and the Department of 110 Forensic Science. 111 112 Breath Alcohol Instrumentation: The first shipment of instruments has arrived and the staff has attended training by the manufacturer. It is expected that the first instruments will be 113 114 placed in the field immediately after the Scientific Advisory Committee reviews and 115 approves the final protocols. 116 117 Issues that were dealt with during the 2008 General Assembly: Legislation: 118 -Salvinorin A as a Schedule I hallucinogen (HB21) 119 -Oripavine & Lisdexamfetamine as Schedule II Controlled Substances (HB823) 120 -Added to the Sex Offender Registration Statute that all sex offenders must be 121 placed in the DNA Data Bank (HB902) 122 -Budget language that requires the Forensic Science Board to inform all persons 123 convicted due to criminal investigations that evidence exists and is available for 124 testing. 125 126 Post-conviction project: All 534,000 case files have been reviewed. 127 4,910 – cases exist with evidence 128 2,166 – cases with evidence and named suspect 2,000 – case files reviewed for post-conviction testing (known samples) 129 130 To date a number of cases have been sent to the laboratory and we have a few hundred cases are waiting to be sent to the private laboratory. 131 132 Almost 1,200 cases reviewed and determined ineligible (individual not convicted 133 or case nolle prossed or property crimes) 134 135 The Department of Forensic Science will be writing reports on all cases that are being tested. 136 137 New Technology: The Department of Forensic Science has decided to move toward capillary 138 electrophoresis for DNA.

139 140

Contextual Bias: The Department of Forensic Science requested that this topic be added to the agenda of the August, 2008 meeting.

141142143

Election of Chairman

144145

146

147

Mr. Bono nominated Mr. Fisher to be the Chair of the Forensic Scientist Advisory Committee for another year, seconded by Dr. Eisenberg. Dr. Poklis made motion to close nomination, seconded by Dr. Almirall. Dr. Poklis made a motion to vote by acclamation, seconded by Dr. Almirall the original motion to elect Mr. Fisher as Chair passed by unanimous vote.

148149150

Subcommittee Reports

151152

153

154

155

156

157

158159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166167

168

169

170

Y-STR Subcommittee – Dr. Krane addressed the Scientific Advisory Committee regarding the subcommittee report on the review of the Y-STR. Members of the subcommittee were Dr. Robin Cotton, Ms. Ann Marie Gross and Dr. Dan Krane. One-quarter of the validation studies were provided to the subcommittee at the meeting and the remainder were provided prior to the meeting. The subcommittee began by considering each of the validation studies individually. The subcommittee felt that additional work needed to be done regarding the interpretation of mixtures. The subcommittee spent approximately 45 minutes discussing the existing mixture validation and decided to table the issue until more data was available. The subcommittee also discussed the appropriate phrasing of a report for those circumstances where a mixture was observed but major and minor contributor could not be separated. Other issues were also discussed with the laboratory, including the need for a theta correction to be used (to correct for population substructure) and the use of an exact approach (rather than an approximation that assumes a two-sided distribution) to generating confidence intervals when small numbers of matching Y-STR haplotypes were observed in existing databases. Finally, it was noted there was nothing explicit in the protocols that were presented to the subcommittee that served as a safeguard against contextual effects. The subcommittee anticipates that the Y-STR protocols will be ready for review and approval by the whole Scientific Advisory Committee after the laboratory has had an opportunity to do some additional validation work. Considering the numerous additional recommendations by the subcommittee, they agreed to meet again for one additional day prior to the next Scientific Advisory Committee meeting.

171172173

174

175

Mitochondrial-DNA Subcommittee – Dr. Rudin addressed the Scientific Advisory Committee regarding the subcommittee report on the review of the Mitochondrial-DNA. Members of the subcommittee were Carna Meyer, Catherine Knutson and Dr. Norah Rudin. Dr. Rudin prepared a report which is attached as Addendum "A."

176177178

179

180

181

182

183

184

AccuTOF – DART Subcommittee – Mr. Bono addressed the Scientific Advisory Committee as a subcommittee member and provided the report on the review of the AccuTOF-DART. Members of the subcommittee were Dr. Dale Carpenter and Mr. Joseph Bono. Mr. Bono began by stating that the instrument methodology is currently for screening purposes only at the Department of Forensic Science. The validation criteria were based upon the SWGDRUG guidelines of sensitivity, reproducibility, and selectivity which is an international standard. A blind comparison study was conducted on 553 samples that were later confirmed by GC/MS.

The selectivity study, using pairs of drugs with the same empirical formulas, demonstrated that some pairs are easily distinguishable (such as methamphetamine and phentermine). For those pairs of drugs that are not easily distinguishable with current parameters, the DART is an excellent screening tool. At this point it cannot be used as a category A technique, which is defined in the SWGDRUG guidelines as a methodology that allows an examiner to positively identify a controlled substance. The examiners in the Department have received training in theory and practical use of the instrument. Ultimately, the subcommittee explored the positive aspects as well as potential negative aspects and determined that any of the latter were negated by the fact that the DART is currently being used as a screening method. The recommendation of the subcommittee that reviewed this instrumentation was that the AccuTOF-DART be allowed to be used as a screening method within the Virginia Department of Forensic Science. There was a motion for the Scientific Advisory Committee to make this recommendation, it was seconded by Dr. Poklis and accepted by unanimous vote

197 198 199

200

201

202

203

204

185

186

187

188

189

190 191

192

193

194

195

196

Breath Alcohol Instrumentation Subcommittee - Dr. Carpenter addressed the Scientific Advisory Committee regarding the breath alcohol instrumentation. Members of the subcommittee were Dr. Dale Carpenter and Dr. Alphonse Poklis. The subcommittee met and received the status report on the breath alcohol instrumentation, acquisition and implementation from the Department of Forensic Science. The instrument that was selected was found by the subcommittee to be appropriate. The Breath Alcohol Section has not finalized their protocols and thus another review was suggested prior to the next meeting before final approval.

205 206 207

208

209

210 211

212

Mr. Fisher felt that the Scientific Advisory Committee had a consensus that the existing people on the subcommittees shall be asked to come back to the next meeting to complete their tasks. Chairman Fisher asked if other members of the Scientific Advisory Committee wanted to participate on the existing subcommittees. Ms. Friedman and Dr. Eisenberg offered to serve and it was suggested that Dr. Bieber be offered an opportunity to participate as well. Ms. Friedman requested that all validation and large volume materials be sent to the Scientific Advisory Committee at least 30 days in advance.

213 214 215

By-Laws Amendment – Chairman Fisher suggested that amendments to the By-Laws may be considered, but deferred this subject to the next meeting on August 5, 2008 to give the Scientific Advisory Committee members time to review the By-Laws.

217 218 219

216

Public Comment

220 221

Public Comment was taken.

222

223 Next Meeting – August 5, 2008 pending any changes by the Forensic Science Board, it was 224 requested by Dr. Rudin that the meeting be changed to accommodate subcommittee members who must be in Richmond by 8:00am Monday. This will be brought to the attention of the 225 Forensic Science Board.

226

227 228

The meeting was adjourned at 1:15 p.m.